CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER: NDA 21055

ADMINISTRATIVE DOCUMENTS

KIN

JUH 18 1998 Tub 18 / 98

Re-Consult of #731 and #732(HFD-150)

PANRETIN and TARGRETIN

9-cis-retinoic acid LGD 1069

The concern of the Committee in the original consult was over the use of the USAN stem syllable "retin" in the proposed trademarks. The Committees concern has undergone revision due to a shift in our interpretation of 21CFR299.4. We used to object to any use of USAN stems since it made USAN's job more difficult to find unconflicting names for compounds in the same class. USAN specifically discourages this practice in their handbook and dictionary.

However, we have no statutory authority to implement the recommendations of a non-regulatory program (that is, the USAN council). Therefore, we encourage sponsors' to respect the USAN councils recommendation to keep USAN stems out of trademarks, but will object to the use of USAN stems in a trademark only when they are false, misleading, or present a health or safety concern.

Therefore, we are no longer in opposition to PANRETIN and TARGRETIN on the basis of their USAN stem inclusion. However, PANRETIN is too similar to the International Nonproprietary Name of pelretin listed in the USAN dictionary. But, we also have no indication that pelretin is under development in the U.S. It may be an INN for a compound that didn't work out or it may be in development abroad. If the division is concerned about pelretin, please ask Ligand to determine the status of the compound and submit documentation that a conflict will not occur.

Also, even though we find TARGRETIN acceptable, we have not seen the labeling and are concerned that the mechanism may be listed as unknown. We see it as misleading if the name indicates the compound "targets retinoid receptors" but the labeling says the mechanism is unknown.

Overall, we find the two proposed proprietary names acceptable with some concerns as listed.

CDER Labeling and Nomenclature Committee

NDA 21-055 CMC Preliminary Review for 45 Day Fileability Meeting:

REVIEWER: Sung K. Kim, Ph.D. REVIEW DATE: August 3, 1999 **DOCUMENT DATE:** June 22, 1999 <u>CDER DATE:</u> June 23, 1999 NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT: Ligand Pharmaceuticals Inc. **DRUG PRODUCT NAME:** Proprietary: Targretin® Soft Gelatin Capsule Nonproprietary/USAN: Bexarotene

10275 Science Center Drive San Diego, CA 92121-1117

Code Name and Number:

LGD1069, LG100069

CAS:

153559-49-0

Chemical Name/Structure:

4-[1-(3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2naphthalenyl)vinyl]benzenecarboxylic acid

DOSAGE FORM: STRENGTH:

Soft Gelatin Capsule 75mg/Capsule

ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION: Oral PHARMACOL. CATEGORY/INDICATION:

Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL)

RELATED IND:

COMMENTS: EERs for (

with a request from Ligand, Targretin was consulted to the Labeling Committee on 1/16/97. Targretin was initially denied in the Labeling Committee's recommendation dated 3/4/97 and subsequently Targretin was found to be acceptable as a trademark (recommended by the Labeling Committee on 2/18/98).

CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS:

The initial preliminary review is completed issues, the submission is fileable.

. With respect to CMC

AUG - 3 1999

cc:

Original NDA #21-055 HFD-150/Div. File HFD-150/AChapman HFD-150/SKim HFD-150/RWood R/D Init. by:__

13. PATENT INFORMATION ON ANY PATENT WHICH CLAIMS THE DRUG IN ACCORDANCE WITH 21 U.S.C. §355(b)

Owner	Patent No.	Expiration Date	Type
Ligand Pharmaceuticals Inc.	5,780,676	July 14, 20015	Drug Product Method of Use
SRI International The Burnham Institute (Exclusively Licensed to Ligand Pharmaceuticals Inc.)	5,466,861	Nov. 14, 2012	Drug Drug Product

In the opinion of and to the best knowledge of the undersigned representative of Ligand Pharmaceuticals Incorporated, the patents listed above cover the formulation, composition, and/or method of use of bexarotene. This product is the subject of this application for which approval is being sought.

William L. Respess

Title: Senior Vice President, General

Counsel, Government Affairs

Date: 05/17/99

14. PATENT CERTIFICATION WITH RESPECT TOANY PATENT WHICH CLAIMS THE DRUG IN ACCORDANCE WITH 21 U.S.C. §355(b)(2) OR §355 (i)(2)(A)

No certification is necessary because this application is for a drug for which investigations described in 21 U.S.C. §355(b)(1)(A) and relied upon by the applicant for approval of this application were conducted by or for the applicant, and this application is not an abbreviated application for a new drug.

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

EXCLUSIVITY SUMMARY FOR NDA # 21-055 SUPPL #
Trade Name <u>Jargetin Capsules</u> Generic Name <u>Bexarotere</u>
Applicant Name Ligan d PharmaceuticelsHFD# 150
Approval Date If Known 12-29-99
PART I IS AN EXCLUSIVITY DETERMINATION NEEDED?
1. An exclusivity determination will be made for all original applications, but only for certain supplements. Complete PARTS II and III of this Exclusivity Summary only if you answer "yes" to one or more of the following question about the submission.
a) Is it an original NDA? YES /X/NO//
b) Is it an effectiveness supplement?
YES $/_/$ NO $/\underline{X}/$
If yes, what type? (SE1, SE2, etc.)
c) Did it require the review of clinical data other than to support a safety claim or change in labeling related to safety? (If it required review only of bioavailability or bioequivalence data, answer "no.")
YES / X / NO //
If your answer is "no" because you believe the study is a bioavailability study and, therefore, not eligible for exclusivity, EXPLAIN why it is a bioavailability study, including your reasons for disagreeing with any arguments made by the applicant that the study was not simply a bioavailability study.
If it is a supplement requiring the review of clinical data but it is not an effectiveness supplement, describe the change or claim that is supported by the clinical data:

d) Did the applicant request exclusivity?
YES / X / NO //
If the answer to (d) is "yes," how many years of exclusivity did the applicant request?
7 years
e) Has pediatric exclusivity been granted for this Active Moiety?
<u></u>
IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED "NO" TO <u>ALL</u> OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS, GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8.
2. Has a product with the same active ingredient(s), dosage form, strength, route of administration, and dosing schedule, previously been approved by FDA for the same use? (Rx to OTC switches should be answered NO-please indicate as such)
YES// NO/ $X/$
If yes, NDA # Drug Name
IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 2 IS "YES," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8.
3. Is this drug product or indication a DESI upgrade?
$YES/_/ NO/X/$
IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 3 IS "YES," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8 (even if a study was required for the upgrade).
PART II FIVE-YEAR EXCLUSIVITY FOR NEW CHEMICAL ENTITIES
(Answer either #1 or #2 as appropriate)
1. Single active ingredient product.
Has FDA previously approved under section 505 of the Act any drug product containing the same active moiety as the drug under consideration? Answer "yes" if the active moiety (including other esterified forms, salts, complexes, chelates or clathrates) has been previously approved, but this particular form of the active moiety, e.g., this particular ester or salt (including salts with hydrogen or coordination bonding) or other non-covalent derivative (such as a complex, chelate, or clathrate) has not been approved. Answer "no" if the compound requires metabolic conversion (other than deesterification of an esterified form of the drug) to produce an already approved active moiety. YES // NO /X/

#(s).	ary die app	roved drug pi	roduct(s) co	ntaining the act	ive moiety,	and, if known, the	NDA
NDA#					_		
NDA#							
NDA#							
2. Combinati	on product						
approved an a product? If, for previously app	application or example, proved actius that was	under section the combination we moiety, an never approx	on 505 contains tion contains uswer "yes." ved under an	aining <u>any one</u> s one never-bef (An active mo NDA, is consi	of the activore-approved biety that is redered not produced to the control of the	l), has FDA previous moieties in the discrive moiety and arketed under an eviously approved	drug d one
	w . #	in en in ea El e a	• • •	YES //	NO / <u>X</u> /		
If "yes," identi #(s).	fy the appr	oved drug pr	oduct(s) cor	taining the acti	ive moiety, a	nd, if known, the	NDA
NDA#							
NDA#	·	<u>-</u> -					
NDA#	· ·						

IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 1 OR 2 UNDER PART II IS "NO," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8. IF "YES" GO TO PART III.

PART III THREE-YEAR EXCLUSIVITY FOR NDA'S AND SUPPLEMENTS

To qualify for three years of exclusivity, an application or supplement must contain "reports of new clinical investigations (other than bioavailability studies) essential to the approval of the application and conducted or sponsored by the applicant." This section should be completed only if the answer to PART II, Question 1 or 2 was "yes."

1. Does the application contain reports of clinical investigations? (The Agency interprets "clinical investigations" to mean investigations conducted on humans other than bioavailability studies.) If the application contains clinical investigations only by virtue of a right of reference to clinical investigations in another application, answer "yes," then skip to question 3(a). If the answer to 3(a) is "yes" for any investigation referred to in another application, do not complete remainder of summary for that investigation.
YES // NO//
IF "NO," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8.
2. A clinical investigation is "essential to the approval" if the Agency could not have approved the application or supplement without relying on that investigation. Thus, the investigation is not essential to the approval if 1) no clinical investigation is necessary to support the supplement or application in light of previously approved applications (i.e., information other than clinical trials, such as bioavailability data, would be sufficient to provide a basis for approval as an ANDA or 505(b)(2) application because of what is already known about a previously approved product), or 2) there are published reports of studies (other than those conducted or sponsored by the applicant) or other publicly available data that independently would have been sufficient to support approval of the application, without reference to the clinical investigation submitted in the application. (a) In light of previously approved applications, is a clinical investigation (either conducted by the applicant or available from some other source, including the published literature) necessary to support approval of the application or supplement?
YES // NO //
If "no," state the basis for your conclusion that a clinical trial is not necessary for approval AND GO DIRECTLY TO SIGNATURE BLOCK ON PAGE 8:
(b) Did the applicant submit a list of published studies relevant to the safety and effectiveness of this drug product and a statement that the publicly available data would not independently support approval of the application?
YES // NO//

(1) If the answer to 2(b) is "yes," do you personall the applicant's conclusion? If not applicable, and	ly know of any reason to disagree with swer NO.
YES //	' NO //
If yes, explain:	
(2) If the answer to 2(b) is "no," are you aware of sponsored by the applicant or other publicly available demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of this demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of th	ilable data that could independently
YES //	NO //
If yes, explain:	
(c) If the answers to (b)(1) and (b)(2) were both "no, submitted in the application that are essential to the approximation to the approximation of the appr	" identify the clinical investigations oval:
	· .
Studies comparing two products with the same ingredient(s) are co for the purpose of this section.	onsidered to be bioavailability studies .
3. In addition to being essential, investigations must be "new" interprets "new clinical investigation" to mean an investigation agency to demonstrate the effectiveness of a previously approved not duplicate the results of another investigation that was relied of	that 1) has not been relied on by the drug for any indication and 2) does

effectiveness of a previously approved drug product, i.e., does not redemonstrate something the agency

considers to have been demonstrated in an already approved application.

the NDA in which each was reli	YES // YES // ne or more investigationed upon:	NO // NO // ons, identify each such investigation
If you have answered "yes" for or the NDA in which each was relie	ne or more investigation	
the NDA in which each was reli	ne or more investigation ed upon:	ons, identify each such investigation
•		
· · - ·		
		-
duplicate the results of another i effectiveness of a previously app	investigation that was proved drug product?	the approval", does the investigated on by the agency to support
Investigation #1		NO //
Investigation #2	YES //	NO //
If you have answered "yes" for or investigation was relied on:	ne or more investigation	on, identify the NDA in which a sin
c) If the answers to 3(a) and 3(b) a supplement that is essential to the are not "new"):	are no, identify each "is approval (i.e., the investigation)	- new" investigation in the application estigations listed in #2(c), less any

applicant if, before or during the country in the form FDA 157	new investigation that is essential to approval must also have been pplicant. An investigation was "conducted or sponsored by" the onduct of the investigation, 1) the applicant was the sponsor of the I filed with the Agency, or 2) the applicant (or its predecessor in our for the study. Ordinarily, substantial support will mean providing the study.
a) For each investigation ide out under an IND, was the	entified in response to question 3(c): if the investigation was carried applicant identified on the FDA 1571 as the sponsor?
Investigation #1	!
IND # YES // ! NO	D//-Explain:
Investigation #2	!
IND # YES // ! NO	// Explain:
(b) For each investigation in identified as the sponsor, did provided substantial support	not carried out under an IND or for which the applicant was not the applicant certify that it or the applicant's predecessor in interest t for the study?
Investigation #1	!
YES // Explain	! NO // Explain
Investigation #2	
YES // Explain	! NO / / Explain

(c) Notwithstanding an answer of "yes" to (a) or (b), are there other reasons to believe that the applicant should not be credited with having "conducted or sponsored" the study? (Purchased studies may not be used as the basis for exclusivity. However, if all rights to the drug are purchased (not just studies on the drug), the applicant may be considered to have sponsored or conducted the studies sponsored or conducted by its predecessor in interest.)

	YES //	NO //
If yes, explain:		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Signature Date
Title: Consumer Defetz Officer

Signature of Office/ Division Director Division Director

cc: Original NDA

Division File HFD-93 Mary Ann Holovac

PEDIATRIC PAGE

(Complete for all original application and all efficacy supplements)

NDA/BLA Number:	21055	Trade Name:	TARGRETIN (BEXAROTENE) 75MG CAPS
Supplement Number:		Generic Name:	BEXAROTENE
Supplement Type:		Dosage Form:	CAP
Regulatory Action:	<u>AP</u>	Proposed Indication:	Targretin (bexarotene) capsules are indicated for the treatment of cutaneous manifestations of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma in patients who are refractory to at least one prior systemic therapy.
ARE THERE I			IN THIS SUBMISSION?
What are the I	NTENDE	ED Pediatric A	ge Groups for this submission?
			Children (25 Months-12 years)
	Infants (1-24 Months)	Adolescents (13-16 Years)
Label Adequac	v T	Does Not Apply	
Formulation St	-	осо	
Studies Needed			
Study Status	-		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-		
Are there any Pedia	atric Phase	4 Commitments	in the Action Letter for the Original Submission? NO
COMMENTS: Targretin capsules re	ceived an	orphan designation	, therefore, pediatric studies are not applicable.
This Page was comp	pleted base	ed on information	from a PROJECT MANAGER/CONSUMER SAFETY OFFICER,
- ,	151		12-29-99
Signature	• 1		Date
-			·

DEBARMENT CERTIFICATION

In compliance with the Generic Drug Enforcement Act of 1992, Section 306(k)(1) of the act (21 U.S.C. 335a(k)(1)), we, Ligand Pharmaceuticals Inc., state the following with respect to this new drug application:

Ligand Pharmaceuticals Inc. hereby certifies that it did not and will not use in any capacity the services of any person debarred under section 306 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act in connection with this application.

Howard T. Holden, Ph.D.

Vice President

Regulatory Affairs and Compliance

Ligand Pharmaceuticals Inc.

San Diego, California

16 June 1999

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Feed and Drug Administration

Form Approved: OMB No. XXXX-XXXX Expresson Date: XX/XX/XX

CERTIFICATION: FINANCIAL INTERESTS AND ARRANGEMENTS OF CLINICAL INVESTIGATORS

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

With respect to all covered clinical studies (or specific clinical studies listed below (if appropriate)) submitted in support of this application. I certify to one of the statements below as appropriate. I understand that this certification is made in compliance with 21 CFR part 54 and that for the purposes of this

		Please mark the applica	sable cheebban
			'
	arrangement with the lister attach list of names to this affected by the outcome of clinical investigator required interest in this product or a	d clinical investigators form) whereby the val the study as defined to disclose to the spi significant equity in the s. I further certify the	ertify that I have not entered into any finants (enter names of clinical investigators below value of compensation to the investigator could d in 21 CFR 54.2(a). I also certify that each list ponsor whether the investigator had a propriets the sponsor as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(b) did not no listed investigator was the recipient 21 CFR 54.2(f).
*	i		
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	Cleaning of the said		
	書		
[] (2) [']	As the realizant was is sub		udies sponsored by a firm or party other than th
•	of compensation to the inve of the study (as defined in significant equity interest in	istigator for conducting 21 CFR 54.2(al); higher sponsor of the coving the co	sponsor of a covered study whereby the valuing the study could be affected by the outcome had no propnetary interest in this product obvered study (as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(b)); another sorts (as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(f)).
e is	applicant, I certify that I is nvestigators (attach list of r	have acted with due names) or from the spo	idies sponsored by a firm or party other than the diligence to obtain from the listed clinical ponsor the information required under 54.4 and information could not be obtained is attached.
NAME		TITLE	
G	Gian Aliprandi	Vic	ce President, Senior Corporate
PRM / OR	GANIZATION		Controller
ī	igand Pharmaceutic	als Inc.	
-			

An agency may an employ or species, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless is displays a currently valid CMB ensured member. Public reporting touches for information of information is estimated to everage I hour per response, installing time for reviewing instructions, searching estimated to everage I hour per response, installing time for reviewing instructions, searching estimated of information. Send enteriors of the accusately data burden extinuits and reviewing the sentence of information. Send enteriors to the region.

Department of Health and Hussan Services Post and Drug Administration 5400 Februs Lane, Room 14C-03 Restrolle, MD 20857

Please DO NOT RETURN this form to this address.

FORM FDA 3454 (10/98)

^{*} With respect to the equity ownership certification, please refer to Attachments A and B.

Memorandum

Date:

22 December 1999

From:

David E. Morse, Ph.D.

Asc. Director (Pharm/Tox.), Office of Drug Evaluation III

Acting Asc. Director (Pharm./Tox.), Office of Review Management

To:

Robert Temple, M.D.

Director, Office of Drug Evaluation I

Cc:

Richard Pazdur, M.D., Dir., DODP (HFD-150)

Paul Andrews, Ph.D., TL Pharm./Tox., DODP (HFD-150) Chang H. Ahn, Ph.D., Pharm./Tox., DODP (HFD-150)

Subject:

NDA 21-055

TARGRETIN® Capsules (Bexarotene)

Review of Pharm./Tox. Information and Sections of Proposed Product Label

I. Materials Included in Review

 Pharm./Tox. Review of NDA 21-055, dated 10 Dec. 1999, written by Chang H. Ahn, Ph.D.

2. TL Memorandum for NDA 21-055, dated 17 Dec. 1999, written by Paul Andrews, Ph.D.

3. NDA 21-055 Approval Package with Draft Product Labeling (dated 21 Dec. 1999).

II. Background

The sponsor (Ligand Pharmaceuticals) is seeking approval of TARGRETIN® for the treatment of the cutaneous manifestations of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL). CTCL is frequently a slowly progressive or "indolent" disease, such that patients may receive daily TARGRETIN® for multiple years.

III. Comments and Conclusions

- 1. A review of the action package for NDA 21-055, TARGRETIN®, suggests that the product has been adequately evaluated in multiple non-clinical safety studies (up to six months repeat-dose administration in rats and dogs) for approval for extended use in the treatment of the cutaneous lesions associated with CTCL (in patients who have failed to respond to one or more other treatments for CTCL). The proposed product labeling adequately reflects the toxicological findings for bexarotene regarding carcinogenesis, mutagenesis, fertility, pregnancy and overdosage.
- 2. Specific comments related to the product label follow:
 - It is recommended that the "Boxed Warning" for TARGRETIN® include statements pertaining to: a) the use of contraceptive methods before, during and for 1 month following the use of TARGRETIN®, and b) the need for periodic laboratory monitoring of pregnancy status for women of reproductive potential. Inclusion of the recommended statements within the "Boxed Warning" for TARGRETIN® will provide consistency of labeling with two additional systemically administered

- retinoids (i.e., ACCUTANE® for the treatment of severe nodular acne, and VESANOID® for the treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia).
- It is recommended that TARGRETIN® be designated Pregnancy Category "X". Designation of TARGRETIN® as Pregnancy Category "X" may be based on: a) retinoid analogues having previously demonstrated significant teratogenic potential in humans, and b) use of the drug during pregnancy being potentially not necessary for the benefit and/or maintenance of maternal health. Designation of TARGRETIN® as Pregnancy Category "X" would then be consistent with the designation for ACCUTANE®, which is also indicated for the treatment of a not imminently life-threatening condition.
- Under the heading of "Warnings," it is suggested that the product label include a statement that a significant dose related incidence (up to 80%) of lens opacities and cataracts was seen in multiple species (rat and dog) treated with bexarotene for 6 months.
- It is suggested that a description of the alterations in coagulation responses (PT and APTT) as demonstrated in multiple animal species after high dose short-term (3-4 doses) bexarotene administration be included in the "Overdosage" section of the product label.

IV. Summary

A review of the action package for NDA 21-055, TARGRETIN®, suggests that the product has been adequately evaluated in multiple non-clinical safety studies for approval. The proposed product label, with possible revision as suggested in the preceding section of this memorandum, adequately reflects the safety data for this product.

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

MEMORANDUM

200. 12/11/99

Date: December 17, 1999

From: Paul A. Andrews, Ph.D.

Pharmacology Team Leader, HFD-150

To: Files for NDA# 21-055, Targretin®

Re: Approvability for Pharmacology and Toxicology

Targretin (bexarotene) is a retinoid analog that selectively binds the RXR receptor at concentrations much lower than those that affect the RAR receptor. Ligand Pharmaceuticals seeks approval of Targretin for treatment of cutaneous manifestations of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL). CTCL is an indolent disease and patients might receive daily Targretin for many years. The extensive pharmacology and toxicology studies submitted to this NDA for Targretin have been thoroughly and thoughtfully reviewed by Dr. Chang Ahn who has considered them adequate to support approval for the intended indication. I concur with his recommendation. The non-clinical studies in the NDA covered the core expectations for a chronically administered drug in HFD-150. The package included single dose, 28 day, and 6 month studies in rats and single dose, 91 day, and 6 month studies in dogs. The 6 month study in dogs was accepted in lieu of 9 months since CTCL can be a life threatening disease, the retinoids are a well studied class, and clinical experience with chronic dosing adequately demonstrated the safety in humans. Carcinogenicity studies are not necessary to support approval for the intended indication and were not submitted. A detailed labeling review was provided by Dr. Ahn in his original review and I agree with the requested changes. I wish to highlight the following from his review:

- Toxicology studies in both species showed that chronic administration of bexarotene causes lens
 opacities and cataracts. This has not yet been demonstrated clinically, but a precaution should be
 noted in the label.
- A panel of genetic toxicity studies demonstrated no potential for genetic toxicity. Results from the
 chromosome aberration assay in CHO cells were not included in the label because the highest
 concentration used in the absence of S9 activation was not considered adequate according to ICH
 criteria.
- In vitro testing indicated that bexarotene has the potential for photosensitization and this precaution is presented in the label.
- A single ICH Stage C-D developmental toxicity study (in rats) was accepted to support the NDA
 because the indication is for patients with cancer and the study confirmed the expected developmental
 toxicity of a retinoid. Of particular note, Dr. Ahn used the Draft Pregnancy Risk Integration Guidance
 to assess the concern for human reproductive and developmental toxicity from Targretin (pp. 24-25 of
 review). His analysis indicates significant concern for humans for the endpoints of developmental
 mortality and dysmorphogenesis (net adjustments +6).
- Good pharmacokinetic data was provided, including from pregnant rats, and comparisons of
 preclinical doses to human doses in the label are made based on AUC ratios. Doses in acute studies,
 however, were well above the range were the pharmacokinetics were known to be linear, so dose
 comparisons in the OVERDOSAGE section were based on mg/m².

Recommendations: The pharmacology and toxicology data supports approval of this NDA. There are no outstanding issues.

Original NDA cc: Div File

HFD-150

/CAhn

/AChapman

/PAndrews

Div. Files

MEMORANDUM

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

DATE:

July 29, 1999

FROM:

Robert L. Justice, M.D.

Acting Director

Division of Oncology Drug Products, HFD-150

TO:

Director, Division of Scientific

Investigations, HFD-340

SUBJECT:

Request for Clinical Inspections for NDA 21-055

Targretin (bexarotene) capsules, 75mg PDUFA goal date is December 20, 1999

Indication: Treatment of patients with all clinical stages (IA-IVB) of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) in the following categories: patients with early stage

CTCL who have not tolerated other therapies, patients with refractory or

persistant early stage CTCL, and patients with refractory advanced stage CTCL.

We have identified the attached studies as being pivotal to the approval of this application. Attached is the list of studies with their sites.

We request that the inspections be performed and the Inspection Summary Results be provided by November 5, 1999. We intend to make a regulatory decision on this application by November 20, 1999.

Should you require any additional information please contact:

Angeline K. Shashlo Director, U.S. Regulatory Affairs and Compliance

Phone: 858-550-7600 Fax: 858-550-1827

The reviewing medical officer for this application is Wole Odujinrin at 594-5757.

The project manager for this application is Amy Chapman at 594-5771.

The division's action goal date is November 20, 1999.